

Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

Archaeology Programs Unit
Programs and Services Branch
Culture Division
401 Bay Street, Suite 1700
Toronto ON M7A 0A7
Tel.: (416) 314-7152
Email: John.Dunlop@ontario.ca

Ministère du Tourisme, de la Culture et du Sport

Unité des programmes d'archéologie
Direction des programmes et des services
Division de culture
401, rue Bay, bureau 1700
Toronto ON M7A 0A7
Tél. : (416) 314-7152
Email: John.Dunlop@ontario.ca



Nov 7, 2016

Ruth Macdougall (P359)
Fisher Archaeological Consulting (FAC)
6 - 170 Florence Hamilton ON L8R 1W9

RE: Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports: Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, "PROPOSED BROCKTON - WALKERTON SUBDIVISION, PART FARM LOTS 28, 29 & 30, CONCESSION 1 NDR, GEOGRAPHIC BRANT TOWNSHIP, TOWN OF WALKERTON, MUNICIPALITY OF BROCKTON, BRUCE COUNTY - DRAFT PLAN 41T-89009 ARCHAEOLOGICAL STAGE 1: BACKGROUND STUDY & STAGE 2: ASSESSMENT, & STAGE 3 MONITORING OF THE PROPOSED ROAD & SUBDIVISION CORNER ADJACENT TO THE ANGLICAN PIONEER CEMETERY", Dated Sep 26, 2016, Filed with MTCS Toronto Office on Oct 3, 2016, MTCS Project Information Form Number P359-0022-2016, P359-0025-2016, MTCS File Number 0004776

Dear Ms. Macdougall:

This office has reviewed the above-mentioned report, which has been submitted to this ministry as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18.¹ This review has been carried out in order to determine whether the licensed professional consultant archaeologist has met the terms and conditions of their licence, that the licensee assessed the property and documented archaeological resources using a process that accords with the 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists set by the ministry, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations are consistent with the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario.

The report documents the assessment of the study area as depicted in Figures 7 and 8 of the above titled report and recommends the following:

Therefore, FAC recommends the following :

1) That the Study Area, as defined on Figures 1, 2 and 7 has been adequately assessed during the Stage 2: Assessment, and since nothing of cultural heritage value or interest was discovered, no further archaeological work is required;

2) That the Stage 3 Monitoring of the southern half of the proposed Eastridge Road ROW and the southeastern portion of the proposed subdivision adjacent to the Pioneer Cemetery (See Figure 8), have been monitored to determine the presence/absence of grave shafts. Nothing having cultural heritage value or interest was discovered (ie. no sites, grave shafts, or artifacts were found), and no further archaeological

work is required.

However, it should be noted that the presence of the church foundation and associated pioneer cemetery have been entered into the Ministry's database of site leads in order to flag their presence for any future assessments in the vicinity.

Based on the information contained in the report, the ministry is satisfied that the fieldwork and reporting for the archaeological assessment are consistent with the ministry's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists and the terms and conditions for archaeological licences. This report has been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports. Please note that the ministry makes no representation or warranty as to the completeness, accuracy or quality of reports in the register.

Should you require any further information regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

John Dunlop
Archaeology Review Officer

cc. Archaeology Licensing Officer
Stuart Doyle, Barry's Construction & Insulation Ltd
David Smith, Bruce County

¹In no way will the ministry be liable for any harm, damages, costs, expenses, losses, claims or actions that may result: (a) if the Report(s) or its recommendations are discovered to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent; or (b) from the issuance of this letter. Further measures may need to be taken in the event that additional artifacts or archaeological sites are identified or the Report(s) is otherwise found to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent.